UDC 658.5

RISK MANAGEMENT IN PROJECT MANAGEMENT: MODELS AND PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Olshevsky K.
senior lecturer, Ural Federal University (Yekaterinburg, Russia)

УПРАВЛЕНИЕ РИСКАМИ В ПРОЕКТНОМ МЕНЕДЖМЕНТЕ: МОДЕЛИ И ПРАКТИЧЕСКИЕ РЕКОМЕНДАЦИИ

Ольшевский К.В.
старший преподаватель, Уральский федеральный университет (Екатеринбург, Россия)

Abstract

The article explores risk management models in project management, including SWOT analysis, Monte Carlo simulation, decision trees, and PERT. Practical recommendations for implementing these models to minimize risks and increase project efficiency are discussed. The importance of integrating risk management into the overall company strategy and continuously improving processes based on past project experience is highlighted. The role of training and feedback systems in enhancing team competence and project resilience is also addressed. The recommendations aim to promote a proactive approach to risk management.

Keywords: project management, risk management, SWOT analysis, scenario analysis, proactive approach.

Аннотация

Статья посвящена изучению моделей управления рисками в проектном менеджменте, которые включают методы SWOT-анализа, Монте-Карло, дерево решений и PERT. Рассматриваются практические рекомендации по внедрению данных моделей для минимизации рисков и повышения эффективности проектов. В статье подчеркивается важность интеграции управления рисками в общую стратегию компании и создания условий для непрерывного улучшения процессов на основе опыта прошлых проектов. Также обсуждаются роли обучения и системы обратной связи в повышении компетентности команд и устойчивости проектов. Предлагаемые рекомендации направлены на формирование проактивного подхода к управлению рисками.

Ключевые слова: проектный менеджмент, управление рисками, SWOT-анализ, анализ сценариев, проактивный подход.

Introduction

Risk management in project management has become an integral part of successfully completing projects in the face of uncertainty and constantly changing external and internal factors. Risks arising at all stages of project implementation can significantly impact timelines, costs, and the quality of final outcomes. The introduction of risk management systems enables companies to identify, assess, and minimize potential threats, thereby improving stability and predictability. In a highly competitive environment and with rapidly changing technologies, risk management becomes one of the key factors for competitive advantage.

There are various risk management models that allow the process of risk identification and analysis to be systematized and structured. These models include techniques focused on both quantitative and qualitative risk analysis, allowing the assessment of likely consequences and

potential ways to minimize risk impact on the project. Examples of these models include the SWOT analysis method, the Monte Carlo method, and the decision tree method, each of which is suitable for specific types of projects and helps managers make informed decisions.

The practical significance of risk management lies not only in reducing the probability of adverse events but also in optimizing resources and increasing project management efficiency. Companies using proactive risk management approaches can better adapt to changes and maintain control over projects, even in uncertain conditions. The implementation of effective risk management models allows minimizing costs associated with unforeseen circumstances and enhances team confidence in achieving project goals.

Main part. Risk management models

One common model used in project risk management is the **SWOT analysis method**. SWOT analysis enables project managers to systematize and evaluate the project's strengths and weaknesses, as well as external opportunities and threats. In terms of risk management, SWOT analysis helps identify both internal and external factors that may affect the successful completion of the project. For example, a project weakness, such as a shortage of qualified specialists, may become a threat to meeting deadlines, while the opportunity to collaborate with new suppliers may reduce dependence on a single partner [1].

To better understand the strengths and applications of each risk management model, Table 1 provides a comparative analysis, highlighting the key advantages, limitations, and suitable project types for each approach. This comparison assists project managers in selecting the most appropriate model based on the unique demands and complexities of their projects.

Table 1 [2-4]

Comparison of Risk Management Models in Project Management

Model	Key advantages	Limitations	Ideal project types
SWOT Analysis	Simple to implement; Identifies strengths and weaknesses	Limited to qualitative data; Lacks detailed quantification	Small-to-medium projects; Initial risk assessments
Monte Carlo Method	Provides probabilistic risk outcomes; Ideal for financial assessments	Requires large data sets; Computationally intensive	Large-scale projects with financial focus
Decision Tree	Visualizes multiple decision pathways; Useful for strategic decisions	Can be complex for large projects; Decision nodes can multiply	Projects with multiple uncertain outcomes
PERT	Identifies critical paths; Optimizes scheduling	Time-focused; May overlook qualitative risks	Projects with complex scheduling requirements
Scenario Analysis	Prepares for various scenarios; Flexible adaptation to external changes	Dependent on scenario accuracy; Time-consuming to develop	Projects influenced by external factors
Quantitative Risk Analysis (QRA)	Precise quantification of risks; Detailed risk assessment	Resource-intensive; Requires statistical expertise	High-stakes projects requiring precision

The table underscores the importance of selecting risk management models that align with specific project requirements and stages. For instance, while the SWOT analysis offers a straightforward assessment of internal and external factors, more advanced techniques like Monte Carlo simulations or Quantitative Risk Analysis (QRA) are crucial for high-stakes projects involving significant financial investments. This comparative overview facilitates informed decision-making

by highlighting the unique advantages of each model and helps project managers anticipate and address project-specific risks more effectively.

The selection of a model also depends on team expertise and available resources. For complex projects with multifaceted risks, integrating multiple models can provide a more comprehensive approach, allowing managers to combine strategic insights with detailed quantitative analyses. This layered approach enhances risk mitigation efforts, allowing for more agile responses to project uncertainties.

The Monte Carlo method is a statistical model that allows for the assessment of probabilistic risks that arise during project implementation. The method is based on multiple simulations with different input data, enabling the creation of a model that considers possible deviations from the initial plan. This model is especially useful for assessing financial and time-related risks, as it provides forecasts of the likelihood of various outcomes. In project management, the Monte Carlo method is often applied to large projects, where small changes can lead to significant budget and timeline shifts [5].

The decision **tree method** is a graphical model that enables project managers to visualize different scenarios. In this model, each node of the tree represents a possible decision or event, while the branches represent the probable consequences of that decision. Decision trees allow managers to evaluate various risk management strategies by considering possible financial and time costs and the probabilities of different outcomes. This method is particularly useful for projects with high levels of uncertainty, where strategic decisions must be made considering multiple factors [6].

The PERT (Program Evaluation and Review Technique) method is used to assess time-related risks and develop an optimal task schedule. PERT is based on building a network model that enables the identification of the project's critical path and the assessment of delays' impact on project deadlines. PERT is useful for projects where task completion depends on many interrelated factors and requires consideration of different scenarios. For example, if one task is delayed, it can affect the completion of subsequent tasks, making PERT a valuable tool for managing time-related project risks [7].

Scenario analysis enables modeling of various situations that may arise during project implementation. This method includes the consideration of «optimistic», «realistic», and «pessimistic» scenarios, allowing project managers to prepare for potential changes. Scenario analysis is particularly effective for identifying risks that may arise due to external factors, such as market fluctuations or changes in material prices. Project teams can use this model to plan alternative actions and allocate resources based on the evolving situation [8].

Each of these models provides managers with tools for a more accurate understanding of risks and for choosing the most appropriate management methods depending on the project type and uncertainty level.

Practical recommendations for implementing risk management models

To successfully apply risk management models, it is essential to develop a comprehensive approach that combines quantitative and qualitative analysis methods. For example, using SWOT analysis can be the first step in identifying major risks, followed by more detailed methods such as the Monte Carlo method or decision tree analysis. This multi-level risk management structure allows the identification of both strategic and operational threats that may arise during project implementation [9]. Such an approach helps project managers allocate resources more efficiently, addressing both high-priority and secondary risks.

It is recommended to conduct training and skills development for project teams in the field of risk management and the use of appropriate models. The team's competencies determine how effectively risk management methods can be adapted to the specific conditions of the project. For instance, a team skilled in PERT and scenario analysis methods can better manage time-related risks and consider various potential scenarios. Companies investing in employee training gain significant advantages, as skill enhancement promotes a proactive approach to risk management and reduces the likelihood of adverse events.

Developing standard operating procedures for risk management helps standardize the process and increase the predictability of its results. For example, regular use of scenario analysis helps the team prepare for possible changes in the external environment and enables prompt adaptation of project plans. Such procedures may include holding regular risk assessment meetings, updating risk management models based on current information, and using a monitoring system to promptly identify deviations. These measures facilitate a quick response to changes and maintain control over the project [10].

Another important recommendation is to implement a feedback system for analyzing and improving risk management based on previous projects [8]. Comparative analysis of successful and less successful projects helps identify the most effective risk management models and methods. For example, if the decision tree method demonstrated high forecasting accuracy in one project, its use can be considered for other similar projects. Continuous improvement of risk management processes allows companies to develop best practices and minimize the likelihood of adverse consequences.

Conclusion

In the modern economy, risk management in project management has become not just a useful practice but a necessity for the successful implementation of projects under constant changes and uncertainty. Various risk management models, such as the Monte Carlo method, scenario analysis, and decision tree analysis, allow project managers to not only respond to potential threats but also proactively shape project execution strategies that minimize adverse impacts. These models, adapted to the specifics of each project, form a foundation for efficient resource allocation and increased process predictability.

One of the key aspects of successful risk management is the ability of teams to learn from past projects and apply the acquired knowledge to adapt strategies. This self-analysis process helps companies develop unique approaches that increase project resilience. For example, by implementing a feedback system, companies can identify weaknesses in risk management approaches and promptly adjust their methods, minimizing repeated mistakes.

Educational and training programs for project team members, aimed at enhancing their competencies, play an important role in successful risk management. By investing in employee training, companies lay the foundation for long-term project success and resilience, as competent teams are better prepared to work with risk management models and make decisions under uncertainty. This aspect also improves corporate culture and fosters a proactive environment where each participant understands their role in risk minimization.

Thus, risk management in project management should be integrated into the overall company strategy rather than considered as a separate function. A comprehensive and strategic approach to risk management, based on the use of proven models and adaptable methods, enables companies to not only increase project efficiency but also strengthen their reputation as reliable partners and employers. This approach forms long-term competitive advantages and promotes sustainable company growth amid constant changes.

References

1. Brykalov S.M., Kuznetsova N.A., Trifonov V.Yu., Trifonov Yu.V. Assessment of Efficiency and Maturity of Risk Management Systems at Enterprises // Fundamental Research. 2021. №3. P. 17-26.
2. Nikolaenko V.S. Project Management Maturity Model: Project Risk Management // Innovations in Management. 2021. №1. P. 38-47.
3. Kirdyashkin S.M., Gushchina O.A. Risk Management Models in IT Projects // Ogaryov Readings. 2022. P. 673-678.
4. Kryukov V.V., Razumova Yu.V. Maturity Assessment Model of Regional Enterprise Project Management Systems // Azimuth of Scientific Research: Economics and Management. 2022. Vol.11. №2(39). P. 40-44.

5. Glebova E.V., Naprienko O.S., Lapteva E.P. Improving the Process of Achieving Sustainable Development of Catering Enterprises Through Risk Management // Improvement of Methodology and Organization of Scientific Research for Societal Development. 2021. P. 56-62.
6. Arias J.C., Stern R. Review of risk management methods // Business Intelligence Journal. 2011. Vol. 4. No.1. P. 51-78.
7. Kryukova O.N. Corporate Project Management System in Higher Education Organizations // Theory and Practice of Social Development. 2023. №12. P. 265-270.
8. Mukhametzyanova D.D., Sirazetdinov R.M., Ustinova L.N., Sirazetdinova E.R. Standardization of Risk Management Systems in Innovative Enterprises // Economics, Entrepreneurship, and Law. 2021. Vol.11. №12. P. 2871-2886.
9. Chapman C., Ward S. Project risk management processes, techniques and insights. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 2003.
10. Kuklov M.V. Development of a Risk-Oriented Enterprise Management Model // Current Issues of Modern Economy. 2023. №10. P. 659-664.